

Southern African Large Telescope



Title: Scatter light at SALT

Author(s): Alexei Kniazev

Doc. number: RSS0000023

Version: 1.0

Date: *May 25, 2020*

Keywords: Scatter light, SAC, RSS

Approved: Encarni Romero Colmenero (AstOps Manager)

ABSTRACT

In this report I present results of study of scatter light of the optical systems SAC and RSS at SALT before and after shutdown. These results show that scatter of light exists in both optical systems and this pattern DID NOT change after cleaning of SAC.



Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	The general idea of method	3
3	Observations	4
4	Following Analysis	4
5	Comparison of scatter light distributions BEFORE and AFTER shutdown	5
6	Conclusions	5

List of Figures

1	The distribution of the scatter light along the long-slit BEFORE (top panel) and AFTER (bottom panel) SAC cleaning. The center of observed star is located at position 0 (zero). The PSF (Gaussian) of FWHM=2.0" is plotted with magenta color as non-scattered light distribution. The light distribution of the star observed with regular long-slit of 2" width and length of 8' is plotted with black color. The light distribution of the star observed with long-slit 2"×30" is plotted with red color. The light distribution of the star observed with "dashed line" long-slit is plotted with blue color.	6
2	The ratio between star observed with the long-slit 2"×30" (red colored on the previous figure) and the regular long-slit of 2" width and length of 8' (black colored on the previous figure) BEFORE (top panel) and AFTER (bottom panel) SAC cleaning.	7



1 Introduction

As a result of SALT+RSS long-slit observations we know that scatter light exist and its input could reach up to 15–20% for the outer parts of extended objects (Katkov, et al., 2019). Scatter light is detectable as a wide wings of the central point-like source. Some very important questions related to this problem are:

1. Which optical system mainly is responsible for that: SAC or RSS?
2. Could it be that large enough scatter light exist in both of them?

I try to answer these questions in the current report.

2 The general idea of method

The general idea of the test is very simple. Light from the point source (any star) goes through SAC first and RSS second:

1. In case we detect this light passing through RSS long-slit with RSS detector, we are not able to separate input from both these systems.
2. However, if we first, observe any star with regular long slit and repeat this observation immediately after, but will close the whole long-slit except its only small central part to get light from the star itself, the final result at RSS detector will be **different** in three different scenarios:

- A** *If scatter exist in BOTH optical systems* – in this case some part of the scatter light goes from SAC will be blocked along the whole closed long-slit and final wings will be fainter;
- B** *If scatter MAINLY exist in SAC* – in this case practically the whole scatter light will be blocked and final wings will be **drastically** fainter;
- C** *If scatter MAINLY exist in RSS* – in this case practically nothing will change, because the main source of the scatter light still passing through RSS’s long-slit.

3. Additionally, if we observe after that the same star using “dashed line” long-slit consist of cut–not cut–cut–not cut... structure, the final result at RSS detector will be as well **different** in these three scenarios:

- (A)** *If scatter exist in BOTH optical systems* – it will be the same as with regular long-slit for open (cut) parts and coincide (be fainter) with **A** for non-cut parts;
- (B)** *If scatter MAINLY exist in SAC* – the flux will be drastically fainter in all non-cut parts;
- (C)** *If scatter MAINLY exist in RSS* – in this case practically nothing will change, because the main source of the scatter light still passing through RSS’s long-slit.

I will call these three scenarios as **(A)**, **(B)** and **(C)** hereafter.



3 Observations

To check the general idea above I suggested to make three types of observations following to each other:

- Step 1:** Observe bright enough star with RSS long-slit of 2" width and length of 8';
- Step 2:** Immediately after that, observe the same star with long-slit 2"×30" that was laser-cut in the carbon plate;
- Step 3:** Immediately after that, observe the same star with "dashed line" long-slit: 2" width and consist of (30" cut)–(30" not-cut)–(30" cut)... up to 4' in each direction from the center of the field.

Two masks were cut with great help of **Christian and Ros**. Thanks a lot!!!

The final observations were done by SAs on 2019 September 20 (before shutdown hereafter) and on 2020 May 14 (after shutdown hereafter).

4 Following Analysis

The analysis was done in the very simple mode, where data were averaged along the spectral direction for each amplifier. The result of my analysis for one amplifier is shown in Figure 1. First, I plotted with **magenta color** the Gaussian with FWHM=2.0 as an example of on-sky PSF for these observations. This is the **non-scattered** light distribution. The light distribution of the star observed with regular long-slit of 2" width and length of 8' is plotted with **black color**. The light distribution of the star observed with long-slit 2"×30" is plotted with **red color**. The light distribution of the star observed with "dashed line" long-slit is plotted with **blue color**.

I am not sure that all three observations were well centered, since observations with regular long-slit and long-slits laser-cut are very different. For that reason all three distributions are normalised by maximum to each other. As it is easy to see the black wings (the regular long-slit observations) are brighter of the red wings (the long-slit 2"×30") and blue wings (the "dashed line" long-slit) are similar to the red wings in non-cut areas and are similar to black wings in laser-cut areas.

Altogether, these data support scenario (A).

We need to understand that in our case equation:

$$S_{\text{obs}} = F_{\star} \cdot \text{PSF}_{\text{seeing}} * \text{PSF}_{\text{scat}}, \quad (1)$$

where $\text{PSF}_{\text{seeing}}$ is the point spread function caused by atmospheric perturbations, PSF_{scat} – the full PSF of the light scattering in the telescope plus instrument setting, F_{\star} is a total stellar flux at a given wavelength, and $*$ is the convolution operator, could be changed into the new form like:

$$S_{\text{obs}} = F_{\star} \cdot \text{PSF}_{\text{seeing}} * \text{PSF}_{\text{scat_SAC}} * \text{PSF}_{\text{scat_RSS}}, \quad (2)$$

where $\text{PSF}_{\text{scat_SAC}}$ is the light scattering in SAC and $\text{PSF}_{\text{scat_RSS}}$ is the light scattering in RSS.

It is very hard to separate these two convolutions, but from the general point of view the second convolution (inside of RSS) has to move previously scattered light (inside of SAC) in the out-of-center directions. This effect could be seeing in Figure 2, where I have plotted ratio between distribution plotted with **black color** and the distribution plotted with **red color**. The ration at the center is very close to 1. It is possible to see that these two observations were done with small shift along the slit, but the main effect is that the ratio **increases** from the center of the slit to edges that could be explained as a “scatter of scattered light” effect. Since signal is faint at the edges, I smoothed it slightly with 10” window. It looks to me that negative and positive directions show slightly different pattern, but it is very hard to explain such an effect. Could it be that our regular long-slit of 2” width has systematic difference of the width?

5 Comparison of scatter light distributions BEFORE and AFTER shutdown

Cleaning of M3 mirror was done during SALT shutdown on February 2020, with one of hope to decrease the level of scatter light INSIDE of SAC with suggestion that the scatter light INSIDE of SAC is due to dust on the surface of M3.

Figure 1 has two panels where the top panel shows comparison of observations BEFORE shutdown and the bottom panel shows such a comparison AFTER. As these panels show the general picture does not change. The light distributions in these panels are slightly different that could be described in terms of quality of signal since (1) different stars were used and (2) first observations were done during the Moon time and second during the dark time. Anyway, both of them show the same general tendency and I would like to conclude that unfortunately the source of scatter light inside of SAC **was not removed during SAC cleaning**.

6 Conclusions

These results show that scatter exist in both optical systems SAC and RSS. The cleaning of M3 mirror of SAC was done during SALT shutdown on February 2020, but unfortunately it did not change scatter pattern inside of SAC drastically.

References

Katkov I. Y., Kniazev A. Y., Kasparova A. V., Sil’chenko O. K., 2019, MNRAS, 483, 2413




Figure 1: The distribution of the scatter light along the long-slit BEFORE (top panel) and AFTER (bottom panel) SAC cleaning. The center of observed star is located at position 0 (zero). The PSF (Gaussian) of FWHM=2.0'' is plotted with **magenta** color as **non-scattered** light distribution. The light distribution of the star observed with regular long-slit of 2'' width and length of 8' is plotted with **black** color. The light distribution of the star observed with long-slit 2'' \times 30'' is plotted with **red** color. The light distribution of the star observed with "dashed line" long-slit is plotted with **blue** color.




Figure 2: The ratio between star observed with the long-slit $2'' \times 30''$ (red colored on the previous figure) and the regular long-slit of $2''$ width and length of $8'$ (black colored on the previous figure) BEFORE (top panel) and AFTER (bottom panel) SAC cleaning.